Complementary Majority Domination Number

S. Anandha Prabhavathy

Abstract - A function $f: V \to \{-1, +1\}$ is called a Complementary Majority Dominating Function namely (CMDF) of G if $\sum_{u \notin N[v]} f(u) \ge 1$ for at least half of the vertices $v \in V$ (G) with deg $v \ne n - 1$. The Complementary Majority Domination Number of G is denoted by $\gamma_{Cmaj}(G)$ and is defined as $\gamma_{Cmaj}(G) = \min \{w(f) \mid f \text{ is a minimal CMDF of G}\}$. In this paper, we initiate the study of complementary majority domination number in graphs.

Keywords: Complementary Majority Dominating Function, Complementary Majority Domination Number.

___ 🌢

1 INTRODUCTION

By a graph G = (V, E), we mean a finite, non-trivial, connected, and undirected graph with neither loops nor multiple edges. The order and size of G are denoted by n and m, respectively. For graph theoretic terminology we refer to Chartand and Lesniak [1].

The study of domination is one of the fastest growing areas within graph theory. A subset D of vertices is said to be a dominating set of G if every vertex in V either belongs to D or is adjacent to a vertex in D. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G. Survey of several advanced topics on domination is given in the book edited by Haynes et al. [2].

For a real valued function $f: V \to R$ on V, weight of f is defined to be $w(f) = \sum_{v \in V} f(v)$ and also for a subset $S \subseteq V$, we define $f(S) = \sum_{v \in S} f(v)$. Therefore, w(f) = f(V). Further, for a vertex $v \in V$, let f[v] = f(N[v]) for notation convenience. A function $f: V \to \{-1, +1\}$ is called a majority dominating function if $f[v] \ge 1$ for at least half of the vertices in G. The majority domination number of G is denoted by $\gamma_{maj}(G)$ and is defined as $\gamma_{maj}(G) = \min\{w(f) \mid f$ is a majority dominating function of $G\}$. Majority domination was first introduced by Broere et al. in [5] and further studied in [3].

A function $f: V \to \{-1, +1\}$ is called a Complementary Signed Dominating Function of G if $\sum_{u \notin N[v]} f(u) \ge 1$ for every $v \in V$ (G) with deg $v \ne n - 1$. The Complementary Signed Domination Number of G is defined as $\gamma_{cs}(G) = \min$ $\{w(f) \mid f \text{ is a minimal complementary signed dominating$ $function of G}. The parameter <math>\gamma_{cs}(G)$ was first investigated in [6].

2 $\gamma_{cmaj}(G)$ for some special classes for graphs

DEFINITION 2.1

A function $f: V \to \{-1, +1\}$ is called a Complementary Majority Dominating Function (CMDF) of G if $\sum_{u \notin N[v]} f(u) \ge 1$ for at least half of the vertices $v \in V(G)$ with deg $v \ne n - 1$. The Complementary Majority Domination Number of G is denoted by $\gamma_{Cmaj}(G)$ and is defined as $\gamma_{Cmaj}(G) = \min\{w(f) \mid f \text{ is a minimal CMDF of G}\}.$

EXAMPLE 2.2

Now consider the graph G as follows



By assigning +1 to the pendant vertices and -1 to the remaining vertices, we obtain $\sum_{u \notin N[v]} f(u) \ge 1$ for the vertices of degree greater than one. Hence $\gamma_{Cmai}(G) = 0$.

REMARK 2.3

If f is a complementary majority dominating function of a graph G, we define the sets P_f , M_f , and N_f as follows.

(i)
$$P_f(G) = \{v \in V(G): f(v) = +1\}$$

(ii) $M_f(G) = \{v \in V(G): f(v) = -1\}$
(iii) $N_f(G) = \left\{v \in V(G): \sum_{u \notin N[v]} f(u) \ge 1\right\}$

[•] S. Anandha Prabhavathy is currently pursuing PhD degree program in Mathematics in Madurai Kamaraj University, India, and Working as Assistant Professor, Department Of Mathematics, Velammal College of Engineering, Madurai. E-mail: prabhamaths6@gmail.com

REMARK 2.4

If f is any complementary majority dominating function of a graph G of order n, then it is obvious that $|P_f| + |M_f| = n$ and $\gamma_{Cmai}(G) = |P_f| - |M_f|$.

THEOREM 2.5

For stars of order $n \ge 2$, we have

$$\gamma_{\text{cmaj}}(K_{1,n}) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if n is even,} \\ 2 & \text{if n is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof.

Case 1. n is even.

Let u be the central vertex of $K_{1,n}$ and let $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$ be the other vertices. Define f: $V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ by f(u) = -1 and

 $f(v_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} \\ +1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

Claim: f is a complementary majority dominating function.

Let v be a pendant vertex with f(v) = -1. Then

 $\sum_{u\notin N[v]}f(u)=(-1)\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)+\frac{n}{2}=1$

Since there are $\frac{n}{2}$ vertices with $f(v_i) = -1$, f is a complementary majority dominating function with

$$\sum\limits_{v\in V(K_{1,n})}f(v)=-1+(-1)\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)+\frac{n}{2}=-1$$

If the number of vertices with function value -1 is increased by 1, then all the pendant vertices will not satisfy the condition necessary for a complementary majority dominating function. Therefore -1 is the minimum value of $\sum_{v \in V(K_{1,n})} f(v)$. Hence $\gamma_{Cmaj}(K_{1,n}) = -1$ if n is even.

Case 2. n is odd.

Define f: $V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ by f(u) = -1 and

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \\ +1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Claim: f is a complementary majority dominating function.

Let v be a pendant vertex with f(v) = +1. Then

$$\sum_{u \notin N[v]} f(u) = (-1)\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right) + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor = 2$$

Since there are $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ vertices with $f(v_i) = +1$, f is a complementary majority dominating function with

$$\sum_{v \in V(K_{1,n})} f(v) = -1 + (-1)\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1\right) + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = 2$$

If the number of vertices with function value -1 is increased by 1, then only the pendant vertices with $f(v_i) = -1$ satisfy the condition necessary for a complementary majority dominating function. Therefore 2 is the minimum value of $\sum_{v \in V(K_{1,n})} f(v)$. Hence $\gamma_{cmaj}(K_{1,n}) = 2$ if n is odd.

THEOREM 2.6

For $n \ge 6$, we have

$$\gamma_{cmaj}(P_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n be the vertices of P_n .

Case 1. n is even.

Define f: $V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ by

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} \\ +1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We claim that f is a complementary majority dominating function.

For i = 1

$$\sum_{u \notin N[v_1]} f(u) = (-1)\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right) + 1\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 2$$

For $2 \le i \le \frac{n}{2} - 1$

$$\sum\limits_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) = (-1) \left(\frac{n-6}{2} \right) + 1 \left(\frac{n}{2} \right) = 3$$

For $i = \frac{n}{2}$

$$\sum_{u \notin N[v_n]_2} f(u) = (-1)\left(\frac{n}{2} - 2\right) + 1\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) = 1$$

Therefore f is a complementary majority dominating function. Since $\sum_{\substack{u \notin N[v_n] \\ 2}} f(u) = 1$, the labelling is minimum with respect to the vertices $1 \le i \le \frac{n}{2} - 2$ and $\frac{n}{2} + 2 \le i \le n$. If $f(v_{\frac{n}{2}+1}) = -1$, then $\sum_{\substack{u \notin N[v_1]}} f(u) < 1$. It is easy to observe that

$$\sum_{v \in V(P_n)} f(v) = (-1)\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 1\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 0$$

International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 11, Issue 4, April-2020 ISSN 2229-5518

Hence $\gamma_{\text{Cmaj}}(P_n) = 0$ if n is even.

Case 2. n is odd

Define f: $V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ by

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = 1 \text{ and } 3 \le i \le \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil \\ +1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We claim that f is a complementary majority dominating function.

For i = 1

$$\sum_{\notin N[v_1]} f(u) = (-1)\left(\frac{n-3}{2}\right) + 1\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right) = 1$$

For i = 2,3, $\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$

u

$$\sum\limits_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) = (-1) \left(\frac{n-3}{2} - 1 \right) + 1 \left(\left| \frac{n}{2} \right| \right) = 2$$

For $4 \le i \le \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 1$ $\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) = (-1)\left(n - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 4\right) + 1\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right) = 4$

Therefore f is a complementary majority dominating function. Since $\sum_{u \notin N[v_1]} f(u) = 1$, the labelling is minimum with respect to the vertices v_i , $3 \le i \le n$. If $f(v_2) = -1$, then $\sum_{u \notin N[v_{\lfloor n \rfloor}]} f(u) < 1$. It is easy to observe that

$$\sum_{v \in V(P_n)} f(v) = (-1)\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 1\right) + 1\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1\right) = 1$$

Hence $\gamma_{\text{Cmaj}}(P_n) = 1$ if n is odd.

THEOREM 2.7

For $n \ge 4$, we have

$$\gamma_{cmaj}(C_n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if n is even,} \\ 3 & \text{if n is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n be the vertices of C_n .

Case 1. n is even.

Define f: $V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ by

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} \\ +1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We claim that f is a complementary majority dominating function.

For i = 1 and $i = \frac{n}{2}$

For 2

$$\sum_{\substack{u \notin N[v_i]}} f(u) = (-1)\left(\frac{n}{2} - 2\right) + 1\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) = 1$$
$$\leq i \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$$

$$\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) = (-1)\left(\frac{n-6}{2}\right) + 1\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 3$$

Therefore f is a complementary majority dominating function.

Since $\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) = 1$, for i=1, $\frac{n}{2}$ the labelling is minimum with respect to all the vertices v_i .

It is easy to observe that

$$\sum\limits_{v\in V(C_n)} f(v) = (-1)\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + 1\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) = 0$$

Hence $\gamma_{Cmaj}(C_n) = 0$ if n is even.

Case 2. n is odd

Define f:
$$V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$$
 by

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i = 1, n \text{ and } 3 \le i \le \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \\ +1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We claim that f is a complementary majority dominating function.

For i = 1,2,3,
$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$$
, n

$$\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) = (-1)\left(n - 3 - \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + 1\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) =$$
For $4 \le i \le \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$

$$\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) = (-1)\left(n - 3 - \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right) + 1\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right) = 4$$

2

Therefore f is a complementary majority dominating function.

Since $\sum\limits_{u\notin N[v_i]}f(u)=2$, for i=1, $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ and deg $v_i=2$, for all i, the labelling is minimum with respect to all the vertices v_i . It is easy to observe that

$$\sum_{v \in V(C_n)} f(v) = (-1)\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 1\right) + 1\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1\right) = 1$$

Hence $\gamma_{Cmaj}(C_n) = 1$ if n is odd.

IJSER © 2020 http://www.ijser.org International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 11, Issue 4, April-2020 ISSN 2229-5518

Next, we consider the complete multipartite graph with m parts, each of order 2.

THEOREM 2.8

 $\gamma_{\text{cmaj}}(K_2^{(m)}) = 0$

Proof. Let G be a graph which is isomorphic to $K_2^{(m)}$

Let V be a vertex of G. Suppose g is a complementary majority dominating function of G such that $\gamma_{cmaj}(G)=g(V)$. Then $\sum_{u\notin N[v_i]} f(u)=1$ for m vertices in G. Since G is isomorphic to $K_2^{(m)}$, we have $|P_g|=m$ and $|M_g|=m$. Hence $\gamma_{cmaj}(G) \ge 0$.

On the other hand , define a function f: $V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ by

Case 1. m is odd.

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{for } \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ partite classes of V and} \\ & \text{one vertex in } \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \text{ partite class} \end{cases}$$

and assign +1 for remaining vertices.

Then f is complementary majority dominating function of G. Hence

$$\gamma_{\rm cmaj}(G) \le -1\left(\left|\frac{m}{2}\right|\right) + (-1)(1) + (1)\left(\left|\frac{m}{2}\right|\right) + 1(1) = 0.$$

Case 2. m is even.

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \frac{m}{2} \text{ partite classes of V} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then f is complementary majority dominating function of G. Hence $\gamma_{\text{cmaj}}(G) \leq -1\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) + 1\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) = 0.$

Consequently the result follows.

THEOREM 2.9

Let G denote the friendship graph with t triangles. The

$$\gamma_{\rm cmaj}(G) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if t is even,} \\ 1 & \text{if t is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let u be the central vertex of G and

let $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2t})$ be the vertices in the triangles.

Case 1. t is odd

Define f: $V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$ by f(u)=-1 and

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq t \text{ and } i = t+2 \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to verify that $\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) > 1$ for $t \le i \le 2t$. Hence f is a complementary majority dominating function of G. Therefore

$$\gamma_{\text{cmai}}(G) \le -1(t) + 1(t+1) = 1.$$

Now suppose g be a complementary majority dominating function of G such that $\gamma_{cmaj}(G) = g(V)$. Then there exists a vertex $v_i \in N_g$ such that $\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} g(u) = 2$.

If the vertex v_i and the vertex adjacent with v_i namely v_j are assigned with -1, then only t-1 vertices belongs to N_g , which is a contradiction. Now suppose $g(v_i)=-1$ and $g(v_j)=+1$, then t+1 vertices belongs to N_g . Hence $\gamma_{cmaj}(G) \ge \sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} g(u) + g(v_i) + g(v_i) + (-1)=1$.

Case 2. t is even

Define f:
$$V \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$$
 by $f(u) = -1$ and

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq t \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to verify that $\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) = 2$ for $t + 1 \le i \le 2t$. Hence f is a complementary majority dominating function of G. Therefore

$$\gamma_{cmaj}(G) \le -1(t) + 1(t) + (-1)(1) = -1.$$

Now suppose g be a complementary majority dominating function of G such that $\gamma_{cmaj}(G) = g(V)$. Then there exists a vertex $v_i \in N_g$ such that $\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} g(u) = 2$.

Hence $\gamma_{cmaj}(G) \ge \sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} g(u) - 2 - 1 = -1$. Consequently the result follows.

THEOREM 2.10

Let $G = K_{2n} - M$; where M is a perfect matching in the complete graph K_{2n} . Then $\gamma_{Cmai}(G) = 0$.

Proof. Let $V(K_{2n}) = (v_1, v_2, ..., v_{2n})$ and

$$M = (v_1 v_2, v_3 v_4, v_5 v_6, \dots, v_{2n-1} v_{2n}).$$

Now define a function f: $V \rightarrow \{-1,1\}$ by

IJSER © 2020 http://www.ijser.org International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 11, Issue 4, April-2020 ISSN 2229-5518

$$f(v_i) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if i is even} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We claim that f is a complementary majority dominating function.

For i = 1

$$\sum_{u \notin N[v_1]} f(u) = f(v_2) = 1$$

For i = 3

$$\sum_{u\notin N[v_3]}f(u)=f(v_4)=1$$

Similarly For $i = 5, 7, \dots, 2n - 1$

$$\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) = f(v_{i+1}) = 1$$

Therefore f is a complementary majority dominating function.

Since $\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) = 1$, for i is odd, the labelling is minimum with respect to all the vertices v_i . Also if any of the vertex v_i , (i is even) is assigned with -1, then $\sum_{u \notin N[v_i]} f(u) = f(v_j) =$

-1, for some j is odd. Therefore f is minimum. It is easy to observe that

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} f(v) = (-1)(n) + 1(n) = 0$$

Hence $\gamma_{\text{Cmaj}}(G) = 0$.

3 OPEN PROBLEMS

(i) Given any integer k, does there exist graph G such that $\gamma_{CS}(G) - \gamma_{Cmai}(G) = k$.

(ii) Characterization of graphs G for which $\gamma_{Cmaj}(G) = \gamma_{CS}(G)$.

(iii) Find both lower and upper bound for γ_{Cmaj} .

REFERENCES

- G. Chartrand and Lesniak, Graphs and Digraphs, Fourth edition, CRC press, Boca Raton, 2005.
- [2] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Domination in Graphs:

- [3] T.S. Holm, On majority domination in graph, Discrete Mathematics 239(2001), 1-12.
- [4] Hua-ming xing, Langfang, Liang sun, Beijing, Xue-gang chen and Taian, On signed majority total domination in graphs, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 55(130)(2005), 341-348.
- [5] Izak Broere, Johannes H. Hattingh, Michael A. Henning, Alice A. McRae, Majority domination in graphs, Discrete Mathematics 138(1995), 125-135.
- [6] Y.S Irine Sheela and R.Kala, Complementary Signed Dominating Functions In Graphs, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, Vol. 6,(2011),1861-1870.

ER